

## Lecture 11 — Period doubling route to chaos

*Source material: Chapter 3, pp 79–87*

*To reproduce overheads shown in lectures, download the corresponding files from the website and open them with “Chaos for Java”*

### Schwarzian magic

- In the last lecture, I developed a simple and general theory which explains period doubling cascades, caused by successive derivatives,  $f'_n, f'_{2n}, f'_{2^2n}, \dots$  passing through the critical value  $-1$ .
- It depends on knowing the sign of the third derivatives, evaluated at points we can't even know as a general formula.
- A little magic comes to the rescue. Let's look at the formula for  $f_2'''(x)$

$$\begin{aligned} f_2'''(x) &= \frac{d}{dx} [f''(f(x)) \cdot f'(x)^2 + f'(f(x)) \cdot f''(x)] \\ &= f'''(f(x)) \cdot f'(x)^3 + 3f''(f(x)) \cdot f''(x) \cdot f'(x) + f'(f(x)) \cdot f'''(x). \end{aligned}$$

It involves all three derivatives  $f'$ ,  $f''$  and  $f'''$ , but at  $r = r^*$  we know that  $f'(x^*) = \pm 1$  and  $f''(x^*) = 0$ , so the second derivatives make no contribution at  $x^*$ . That is,

$$f_2'''(x^*) = 2f'(x^*)f'''(x^*);$$

some memory of the third derivative survives the period doubling.

- To exploit this fact, consider a rather complicated function  $S[f]$  constructed using the following combination of the derivatives of  $f$ :

$$S[f] = 2f'f''' - 3f''^2. \tag{11.1}$$

- (i) It has the property that, if we know the sign of  $S[f]$  at a point where  $f' = -1$  and  $f'' = 0$ , then we know the sign of  $f_2'''$  at that point.
- (ii)  $S[f]$  is related to the *Schwarzian derivative* — in fact equation (11.1) must be divided by  $2f'^2$  to get the usual definition.

- Consider what happens under function composition. To avoid confusion, consider first the most general composition

$$h(x) = f(g(x)).$$

- Then

$$S[h](x) = S[f](g(x)) \cdot g'(x)^4 + S[g](x) \cdot f'(g(x))^2. \quad (11.2)$$

This is a general formula, true for all  $x$ .

- We don't care about the actual values, we simply want to know whether or not  $S[h](x)$  is negative. Equation (11.2) shows that

$$\text{if } S[f](x) < 0 \quad \text{and} \quad S[g](x) < 0, \quad \text{then} \quad S[h](x) < 0.$$

### Cascades to Chaos

- The period doubling cascade proceeds at an ever more frenetic pace as the parameter increases. (Overheads\_11.1 & 11.2)
- Define  $r_n$  as the value of  $r$  at the point where the  $n$ th period doubling occurs. We adopt a *scaling hypothesis* that the sequence of values  $r_n$  converges geometrically to a limiting value  $r_\infty$ , that is

$$r_n - r_\infty \approx A\delta^{-n}, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

### Numerical estimates

- Taking the difference of two adjacent equations,

$$(r_n - r_\infty) - (r_{n+1} - r_\infty) = r_n - r_{n+1} \approx A\delta^{-n}(1 - \delta^{-1}).$$

The ratio of two such relations gives

$$\frac{r_n - r_{n+1}}{r_{n+1} - r_{n+2}} \approx \delta.$$

- To estimate  $r_\infty$ , write

$$0 = (r_n - r_\infty) - \delta(r_{n+1} - r_\infty).$$

Solving for  $r_\infty$  gives

$$r_\infty \approx \frac{r_n r_{n+2} - r_{n+1}^2}{r_n - 2r_{n+1} + r_{n+2}}$$

### Scaling relations

- A *scaling relation* takes a form such as

$$A_n \approx A_\infty \alpha^n, \quad n \rightarrow \infty, \quad \text{or} \quad A(\epsilon) \approx A(0) \epsilon^{-d}, \quad \epsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

We shall encounter both forms in these lectures.

- The relation  $r_n - r_\infty \approx A \delta^{-n}$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  has precise meaning

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{r_n - r_\infty}{\delta^{-n}} = A.$$

Here  $A$  is a constant depending on the particular map. However,  $\delta$  is a *universal constant* which can be the same for different maps.

### Logistic Map

- For the main period doubling sequence of the logistic map the first few  $r_n$  values are

$$\begin{aligned} r_1 &= 3, & r_2 &= 3.4494897428, & r_3 &= 3.5440903596, \\ r_4 &= 3.5644072661, & r_5 &= 3.5687594195, & r_6 &= 3.5696916098, \quad \dots \end{aligned}$$

They were obtained using *Chaos for Java* to locate, to ten decimal places, the values of  $r$  at which the derivative  $f'_n(x_i^*)$  attains the critical value  $-1$ .

- Since we need three adjoining values of  $r_n$  for each estimate, this gives the following sequence of numerical results:

$$\begin{aligned} (r_1, r_2, r_3) : & \quad \delta \approx 4.7514462, & r_\infty &\approx 3.5693075. \\ (r_2, r_3, r_4) : & \quad \delta \approx 4.6562512, & r_\infty &\approx 3.5699641. \\ (r_3, r_4, r_5) : & \quad \delta \approx 4.6682415, & r_\infty &\approx 3.5699458. \\ (r_4, r_5, r_6) : & \quad \delta \approx 4.6687414, & r_\infty &\approx 3.5699440. \end{aligned}$$

- We take the obvious convergence as strong evidence of the scaling hypothesis.
- We can also examine how distances  $d_n$  between points on period  $2^n$  orbits scale with increasing  $n$ . It will be seen that they also scale geometrically, this time to zero,

$$d_n \approx B \alpha^{-n}, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

- Theory and experiment both give the value

$$\alpha \approx 2.502908\dots$$

- Both  $\alpha$  and  $\delta$  are *universal constants*, known as Feigenbaum's constants. They are the same for all period doubling cascades of a smooth unimodal map with a quadratic maximum.

### Superstable orbits

- Recall that stability is determined by the derivative. Parameter values for which the derivative is zero are special.
- Normally convergence to stable points is *linear*:

$$|x_{i+n} - x_i^*| \approx |f'_n| \cdot |x_i - x_i^*|,$$

If  $f'_n = 0$ , it can be shown that the convergence is quadratic:

$$|x_{i+n} - x_i^*| \approx |f''_n/2| \cdot |x_i - x_i^*|^2.$$

- **Superstable:** A superstable period  $n$  orbit is one for which the derivative  $f'_n$  has the values  $f'_n(x_i^*) = 0$ , somewhere between where  $f'_n(x^*) = +1$  and  $f'_n(x^*) = -1$ .
- Denote the values of  $r$  at which the period  $2^n$  orbit is superstable by  $\bar{r}_n$ . The Lyapunov exponent has the value  $-\infty$  wherever a derivative used in its computation becomes zero, as seen in graphs. (Overheads\_11.3 & 11.4)

### Logistic map

- We already know two superstable orbits for the logistic map:  $\bar{r}_0 = 2$  and for  $\bar{r}_1 = 1 + \sqrt{5}$ . Numerical values for the first few  $\bar{r}_n$  are

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{r}_0 &= 2, & \bar{r}_1 &= 3.2360679775, & \bar{r}_2 &= 3.4985616993, \\ \bar{r}_3 &= 3.5546408628, & \bar{r}_4 &= 3.5666673799, & \bar{r}_5 &= 3.5692435316, & \dots \end{aligned}$$

- Clearly the parameter values  $\bar{r}_n$  should scale with the same universal Feigenbaum constant  $\delta$ , according to the law

$$\bar{r}_n - r_\infty \approx \bar{A}\delta^{-n}, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Using the above data,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\bar{r}_0, \bar{r}_1, \bar{r}_2) : & \quad \delta \approx 4.7089430, & r_\infty \approx 3.5693349. \\
 (\bar{r}_1, \bar{r}_2, \bar{r}_3) : & \quad \delta \approx 4.6807710, & r_\infty \approx 3.5698766. \\
 (\bar{r}_2, \bar{r}_3, \bar{r}_4) : & \quad \delta \approx 4.6629596, & r_\infty \approx 3.5699507. \\
 (\bar{r}_3, \bar{r}_4, \bar{r}_5) : & \quad \delta \approx 4.6684035, & r_\infty \approx 3.5699458.
 \end{aligned}$$

### Scaling and self-similarity

- Using the formula for the derivative in the condition for superstability,

$$f'_n(x_i) = \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} f'(x_{i+j}) = 0,$$

we see that, for a smooth unimodal map, an orbit is superstable if and only if  $f'(x_k^*) = 0$  for some point  $x_k^*$  on it. This means that the maximum point  $x_{\max}$  of  $f$  must belong to the orbit.

- Suppose we trace the branch of the bifurcation diagram which passes through the point  $(\bar{r}_n, x_{\max})$  back to the point  $r_n$  at which this period  $2^n$  orbit came in existence and the forward along the other branch. The two points are related by the composition  $f_{2^n-1}$ .
- Denote by  $d_n$  the distance between  $x_{\max}$  and  $f_{2^n-1}(x_{\max})$ , that is

$$f_{2^n-1}(x_{\max}) = x_{\max} - d_n, \quad f_{2^n-1}(x_{\max} - d_n) = x_{\max}$$

- Overheads\_11\_5 & 11\_6 illustrate what we are doing, also the self-similarity.
- Corresponding to the values  $\bar{r}_n$  already found for the logistic map, the  $d_n$  values, and the ratios  $d_n/d_{n+1}$ , are

$$\begin{aligned}
 d_1 &= 0.30901699, & & \\
 d_2 &= 0.11640177, & d_1/d_2 &= 2.65475, \\
 d_3 &= 0.04597521, & d_2/d_3 &= 2.53184, \\
 d_4 &= 0.01832618, & d_3/d_4 &= 2.50872, \\
 d_5 &= 0.00731843, & d_4/d_5 &= 2.50411,
 \end{aligned}$$

in good agreement for such a limited data set.